



Strasbourg, 24 October 2007

RL(2007)1

**European Network for the exchange of information between persons and entities responsible for the training of judges and public prosecutors**

**LISBON NETWORK**

Website of the Lisbon Network: [www.coe.int/lisbon-network](http://www.coe.int/lisbon-network)

**9th plenary meeting**

**Palais de l'Europe, Strasbourg, France, 10 and 11 October 2007**

**“How to train judges in Council of Europe instruments and in their implementation?”**

**MEETING REPORT**

### The Lisbon Network:

- **took note** with satisfaction of the activities of its **Bureau**, as well as of the support provided for by the Lisbon Network to meetings and events which took place in 2007 in the field of judicial training, such as:
  - the Regional Round Table of the CARDS Programme on the drafting of guidelines for strategy plans and training curricula for the training institutions of the Judiciary (Durrës, Albania, 8-9 February 2007)
  - the Seminar organised in the framework of the European Commission's Euromed Justice Programme (Amman, Jordan, 14-17 May 2007)
  - the second edition of the Themis Initial Training International Showroom organised by the Centre of Judicial Studies (CEJ) of Portugal (Lisbon, Portugal, 24-29 September 2007)

and of its representation in the said initiatives by the Chairman or a member of its **Bureau**, experts or the Secretary to the Network;

- **encouraged its Bureau** to ensure in the future, within the available budgetary means, the support and participation of the Lisbon Network in similar initiatives, thus contributing to the effective implementation of document "A Network to support judicial training in the Council of Europe member States" (RL (2006)1), adopted by the Network at its 8<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting (Strasbourg, 16-17 October 2006);
- **took note** of the recent developments in judicial training in Council of Europe member States;
- **took note** with satisfaction that the judicial training institutions of Albania, Armenia, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Estonia, Germany, Latvia, Montenegro, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia and "The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" reserved a concrete follow-up to the recommendations concerning the training of magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties (cf. training of the judge and prosecutor to behave appropriately with the defendant and with vulnerable persons) adopted at its 8<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting (16-17 October 2006) by introducing or developing in their curricula a specific training, **invited** the training institutions which have not yet done so, to introduce or develop such a training and **agreed** to resume consideration of this issue at its 10<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting;
- following the examination by its **Bureau** of the possible developments to be given to CCJE Opinions No 6 (2004) on fair trial within a reasonable time and judges' role, taking into account alternative measures of dispute settlement and Opinion No 7 on "justice and society", **encouraged** the members of the Network to examine and inspire themselves of the content of the said Opinions and **took note** of the intention of a delegation to initiate discussion on the issue "media and justice" covered in Opinion No 7 on the new Discussion Forum of the Web Site of the Lisbon Network;
- **took note** with satisfaction that its **Bureau** examined the part of the Report of the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) "European Judicial Systems – Edition 2006" relating to the training of magistrates and that on this occasion it held an exchange of views with Mrs Daniela PIANA (Italy), Assistant lecturer at the Department of Political Science and Sociology of

Florence University and member of the research network entrusted by the CEPEJ to carry out a study on its 2006 report; it **noted** that during this exchange of views the tool being developed by her team to evaluate judicial training was presented, *inter alia* on the basis of the data available in training institutions and that, at the end of the research, the results will be examined by the Working Group on evaluation of judicial systems (CEPEJ-GT-EVAL) of the CEPEJ at its 8<sup>th</sup> meeting (8-9 November 2007), and then forwarded to its **Bureau**;

- **underlined** the importance of the training of the judge, as first guarantor of the implementation of International Law in the domestic legal order, in the **Corpus of the Council of Europe Law**;
- **accordingly recommended to the judicial training institutions** of the Council of Europe member States:
  - to encourage judges and prosecutors, in the framework of their initial and in-service training, to regularly consult European standards and remain informed about their evolution, through any appropriate means, and in particular by way of consulting Web sites, such as, for example, that of the Council of Europe ([www.coe.int](http://www.coe.int));
  - to create or to develop initial and in-service training of judges and prosecutors, as to the substance and as to their implementation, in Council of Europe instruments - in addition to the European Convention on Human Rights - , such as, in particular:
    - ✚ the European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;
    - ✚ the Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings;
    - ✚ the Recommendations, Resolutions or other texts concerning the treatment of delinquents, the protection of children and other vulnerable persons;
    - ✚ the instruments concerning in particular the guiding principles of criminal procedure, the conditions for the execution of sanctions and the transfer of sentenced persons, extradition, treatment of criminals, rights of prisoners;
    - ✚ the instruments relating to judicial procedures, access to justice, organisation of jurisdictions and judicial professions;
    - ✚ the instruments linked to the recent developments in society, and in particular in the field of bioethics, development of new technologies (for example protection of personal data, fight against cybercrime) and in the fight against major criminality (for example terrorism, money laundering);
  - to familiarise magistrates, in the framework of their initial and in-service training, with European standards specific to the functioning of justice contained in the Opinions of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE);
- **entrusted its Bureau** to explore the modalities of co-operation that the Lisbon Network could create or develop, if necessary in co-operation with other Council of Europe bodies, in particular through a framework programme, with a view to contributing to the specific methodologies aiming at increasingly taking into consideration the Corpus of the Council of Europe Law in judicial training institutions and at integrating it in the initial and in-service training programmes,

in the light in particular of the report (RL / RAP / (2007)1) presented on this topic at the present meeting and of the discussions related to it;

- **took note** of the communication on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE);
- **entrusted its Bureau** to examine the proposal concerning the training of prosecutors in the field of international co-operation, as presented by the representative of the National Training Centre of Poland at the present meeting;
- **entrusted its Bureau** to pursue the examination of the possible reinforcement of partnership between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN), in the light in particular of draft document RL / BU (2007)2 adopted by the Bureau of the Lisbon Network on 13 April 2007 and the proposed amendments to this text approved on 3 October 2007 by the Working Group on External Relations of the EJTN, presented orally by the Secretary General of EJTN at the present meeting and subject to further discussions in both Networks, with a view to preparing a common document for adoption by both Networks, if possible during the first quarter of 2008;
- **congratulated the Secretariat** for the creation of the new Website of the Network (including the recently launched discussion forum) and for the development of its content and **noted with satisfaction** that the statistics show an extremely important increase in the number of visits on the new Site, which thus fully performs its role of information and working tool for the members and reference site for the European judicial community and general public;
- **invited the members of the Network** to continue to duly contribute to the development of the Site, in particular **by sending to the Secretariat of the Council of Europe their programmes of initial and in-service training for 2008** in English or French, as soon as they are adopted, with a view to placing them online on the Network's Site;
- as regards the partial renewal of its **Bureau**:
  - **noted** that the terms of reference of the members in respect of Finland, France and Romania will come to an end at the close of the present meeting and **expressed** its warm thanks to the said members and to the Chairman for their outstanding contribution to the work and the development of the Network;
  - **consequently elected** the Judicial Academy of the Ministry of Justice of Croatia, the High School of Justice of Georgia and the Judicial School of the General Council of the Judiciary of Spain as members of the Bureau for a period of two years;
- **proposed** to hold its 10<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting at the Palais de l'Europe in Strasbourg on 8 and 9 October 2008, on the theme " Training of magistrates in the field of media and justice".

1. The European Network for the exchange of information between persons and entities responsible for the training of judges and public prosecutors (**Lisbon Network**) held its ninth plenary meeting at the Palais de l'Europe in Strasbourg on 10 and 11 October 2007, with Mr Jorma HIRVONEN (Finland), Chair of the Network Bureau, in the chair.
2. The meeting programme and list of participants appear, respectively, in Appendices 1 and 2 to this report.
3. The meeting was opened by Mr Roberto LAMPONI, Director of Co-operation, who drew attention, inter alia, to the development of synergies between the Lisbon Network and the HELP programme, which had in particular led to meetings of the two being held to coincide. This had enabled the Council of Europe to pool their respective budgetary resources and bear the travel and subsistence expenses of one person for each delegation present, on the understanding that this should not necessarily be considered as a precedent for forthcoming plenary meetings of the Lisbon Network.

#### **Information from the Chair of the Network and the Secretariat**

4. The Chair provided information about the activities of the Bureau and its members (see "Decisions" above).
5. The Lisbon Network had provided support for the second edition of the Themis Initial Training International Showroom organised by the Portuguese Judicial Studies Centre (CEJ) in conjunction with the Romanian National Institute of Magistracy in Lisbon from 24 to 29 September 2007. The event had enabled numerous legal trainees from Council of Europe member States to prepare written work on Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights, on international co-operation in civil and criminal matters and on the ethics of judges and prosecutors, and to present it orally to their peers and members of the international juries appointed for the occasion. The written work and presentations had been of a very high standard and the event, which had been outstandingly organised by the CEJ, had taken place in a very friendly atmosphere, to general satisfaction, and had deservedly elicited extremely favourable feedback.
6. The Secretariat informed the Network of the recent reorganisation of the Secretariat, as a result of which the Directorate General of Legal Affairs and the Directorate General of Human Rights had been merged into a new Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs (DGHL) under Mr Philippe BOILLAT. The Network Secretariat would remain in the Justice Division, which was part of the Directorate of Co-operation (run by Mr Roberto LAMPONI). This Directorate was, moreover, responsible for providing the Secretariat for the HELP programme.

#### **Round table on:**

##### **a. The development of judicial training in the Council of Europe member States**

7. The Lisbon Network participants reported in turn on recent developments in initial and in-service judicial training in their respective countries and new training schemes planned by judicial training institutions.
8. The representative of **Armenia** said that initial training would be introduced for judges for the first time in January 2008. In-service training, which already existed, was currently compulsory and consideration was being given to the advisability of continuing to make it obligatory.

9. The representative of **Spain** referred to the conference scheduled to take place in Sofia from 24 to 26 October 2007 at the Bulgarian National Institute of Justice, as part of the joint project being carried out by the Institute and the Judicial School of the Spanish General Council for the Judiciary. One of the topics would concern familiarisation with European Union instruments, and this might be supplemented by familiarisation with Council of Europe instruments.
10. The representative of **France** said that the National School of Magistracy, which was run since September 2007 by Mr Jean-François THONY, was developing a section providing tuition in French and English. French law provided for compulsory in-service training for five days a year.
11. In-service training was not currently compulsory in **Norway**. The intention was to make training more professional in future. There had been, and would continue to be, numerous measures to make the administration of justice more efficient, but there would also be more emphasis on improving the quality of court decisions.
12. The representative of "**The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**" said that an initial training scheme had just been introduced, on 17 September 2007, and concerned 27 legal trainees. The initial training comprised five months of theory lectures at the Academy and ten months in the courts and in public prosecutors' offices, during which trainees were supervised by mentors. A strategic plan was now to be devised. The work of the Lisbon Network, and particularly the questionnaires on the structural and functional features of training institutions for judges and public prosecutors and on their role with regard to the recruitment, initial training and in-service training of judges and prosecutors, had been extremely useful in this connection, as had the Network's report on the contribution of judicial training bodies to the practical implementation of Opinion No 4 of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) on appropriate initial and in-service training for judges at national and European levels.
13. The representative of **Belgium** said that a Judicial Training Institute had been set up under the Act of 31 January 2007 and would acquire legal personality on 2 February 2008. The Institute would be responsible for training judges, prosecutors and other legal professions. It was to be run by Ms Edith VAN DEN BROECK, and it was hoped that it would open in September 2008. The High Council of Justice would draw up guidelines, but the Institute alone would prepare the syllabuses and it would be independent of both the Ministry of Justice and the High Council of Justice. There were plans to earmark 0.9% of the payroll for training and to introduce a right to five days' in-service training a year.
14. The representative of **Albania** said that in-service training had been compulsory since 2005 and that the School of Magistrates' Act specified that no more than 10 days a year should be spent on such training, as either trainer or trainee. The School of Magistrates was also devising a major scheme catering for court chancellors
15. The representative of **Croatia** said that the Academy, which had come into operation three years previously, had begun by providing in-service training. Courses currently included training in relations with the media, and there was also emphasis on dealing appropriately with children and vulnerable people. The Academy was now going to introduce initial training, which would be based in particular on the European Convention on Human Rights, other Council of Europe instruments and European Union law. The Academy was also beginning to co-operate with judicial training institutions in Spain and France.

16. The representative of **Spain** drew attention to the joint project for a two-year training course in civil, criminal and administrative law, involving the Judicial School of the Spanish Judicial Council for the Judiciary and Andorra.
17. The representative of **Andorra** confirmed that the country was co-operating with Spain and France, and thanked the representatives of the two training institutions concerned.
18. The representative of **Slovakia** reported on co-operation between her training institution and the French National School of Magistracy.
19. The representative of **UNMIK** (Judicial Institute of Kosovo) said that the Institute had been set up by the OSCE in 2000 and had since been providing in-service training for judges and prosecutors. It was an independent institution and planned to introduce initial training in 2008. He took the opportunity to thank the judicial schools in the region for their support.
20. One of the representatives of **Bosnia and Herzegovina**, pointing out that two training centres were operating in the country and were members of the Lisbon Network, referred to the Medium-Term Plan adopted for 2007 to 2010, which made initial and in-service training compulsory. As yet, no proper initial training existed. E-learning had been introduced in 2006, particularly in civil and criminal law and in respect of the European Convention on Human Rights.
21. The representative of **Romania** said that the training institution he ran was endeavouring to compile a compendium of European best practice, for example in respect of the types of subjects chosen for competitive examinations for admission to judicial schools.
22. The Representative of **Poland** made the following statement :

“The polish system of judicial training is undergoing the reform. This reform is being conducted in two streams, one dealing with on going training and the other with the initial training.

The National Training Centre for the Officials of the Common Courts of Law and the Public Prosecutor’s Office, established on the basis of the Act of 1st July 2005, commenced its operations on 1st September 2006 as an organizational entity subordinate to the Minister of Justice. The Centre started functioning in Poland’s capital - Warsaw but in the future the head seat of the Centre will be Krakow. Branch offices in Poland’s major cities will be also established.

The National Training Centre took over the duties concerning the in-service training of judges and public prosecutors from the Department of Training of the Ministry of Justice. *De lege lata* the initial training is being supervised by the Ministry of Justice.

As from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008, due to the amendment of the Act on the National Training Centre and several other acts of law, the scope of the Centre’s competence will also include the provision of a **new initial training** for judges and prosecutors, aimed at providing the auditors the necessary knowledge and practical preparation for the function of a magistrate.

Such traineeship will take three years. The first year will begin on 1<sup>st</sup> September 2008. Throughout the first year, trainees will attend joint seminars in the National Centre and practical training in accordance with the traineeship programme. In the last month of the first year of traineeship, trainees will sit an examination covering the total syllabus before a committee appointed by the Director of the National Centre in agreement with the Minister of Justice. The examination will be composed of a written and an oral part and the results will

be evaluated on a point basis. Trainees will need to obtain the minimum number of points specified by the Minister of Justice in order to pass the examination. A list of trainees approved for further training will be drawn up within 14 days from the day when the examination results are published. The number of points obtained by a trainee will determine the position on the list. Trainees, depending on their position on the list, will choose either a **court or a prosecutor specialisation** for further initial training within the limits established for particular specialisations specified by the Minister of Justice. Under the court or prosecutor specialisation, second- and third-year trainees will attend seminars in the National Centre and practical training in accordance with the traineeship programme separate for each of those specialisations. Seminars and practical training for trainees will begin on 1<sup>st</sup> September and end on 31<sup>st</sup> July of the following year. In the last month of the third year, trainees will sit an examination for judges or prosecutors, depending on whether they have chosen a court or a prosecutor specialisation. Examinations will be presided over by committees appointed by the Minister of Justice upon the request of the Director of the National Training Centre. Examinations for judges and prosecutors will be composed of a written and an oral part. Practical tasks for the written part and cases for the oral part are prepared by an examination team appointed by the Minister of Justice upon the request of the Director of the National Centre. Court and prosecutor traineeship will be supervised, in substantive terms, by the Minister of Justice.”

23. The representative of **Serbia** said that it was at the instigation of judges and prosecutors in his country that the Judicial Training Centre had been set up six years previously. In-service training was voluntary, but most judges and prosecutors agreed to take part. Child victims of crime were among the subjects covered. As a great deal of legislation was being introduced, the Training Centre had to keep constantly abreast of new laws in order to provide appropriate training. It was working on an initial training scheme with the High Council of Justice and the Supreme Court. There were also plans to convert the Training Centre into a Judicial Academy or similar institution.

24. The representatives of **Russia** said that the Academy of Justice, which had ten branches in the country, organised in-service training for judges from courts at all levels. The training was currently financed by the Supreme Court, but as from 2008 the Academy would have its own budget for the purpose. The Academy of the Prosecutor General's Office had been set up in 2007, and an official letter would be sent to the Secretary General of the Council of Europe to the effect that it had been designated as one of the two members of the Lisbon Network in respect of the Russian Federation.

25. The representative of **Ukraine** said that the Prosecutors' Training Academy was willing to co-operate with the Network, alongside the Academy of Justice.

**b. Action taken by judicial training institutions on the Lisbon Network's recommendations on "Training the magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties" (adopted at the Network's eighth plenary meeting, Strasbourg, 16 and 17 October 2006).**

26. The Lisbon Network took note with satisfaction that the judicial training institutions of Albania, Armenia, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Estonia, Germany, Latvia, Montenegro, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia and "The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" reserved a concrete follow-up to the recommendations concerning the training of magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties (cf. training of the judge and prosecutor to behave appropriately with the defendant and with vulnerable persons) adopted at its 8<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting (16-17 October 2006) by introducing or developing in their curricula a specific training, invited the training institutions which have not yet done so, to introduce or develop such a training and agreed to resume consideration of this issue at its 10<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting.



## Relations with other Council of Europe bodies

27. As part of the scheme to improve synergies between the Lisbon Network and other Council of Europe bodies, the participants heard a statement by the Secretariat on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE) and took note of the Polish National Training Centre's proposal concerning the training of prosecutors in international co-operation. It instructed the Bureau to consider this proposal, as presented by the Representative of the Polish National Training Centre at the present meeting.

## Co-operation between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

28. Mr Gilles CHARBONNIER, Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network, reported on the activities of the EJTN. Two new members, Bulgaria and Romania, had joined since June 2007, and there were two new observers, Croatia and "The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia". Progress had been made: in particular, an annual catalogue of training activities had been produced and an annual exchange programme for judges, prosecutors and trainers implemented. The EJTN had also adopted a Strategic Plan for 2007 to 2013.
29. The Lisbon Network furthermore discussed recent developments in institutional co-operation between the Council of Europe and the EJTN and the possibility of strengthening the partnership between the Lisbon Network and the EJTN. The latter now had observer status with both the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) and the CCJE. As the Secretary General of the EJTN had orally presented amendments proposed by the EJTN Working Group on External Relations on 3 October 2007 to document RL/BU(2007)2, which the Lisbon Network Bureau had adopted on 13 April 2007, the Lisbon Network instructed the Bureau to continue considering the matter with a view to preparing a joint document for adoption by both networks, if possible during the first quarter of 2008.

## Study session

30. The Lisbon Network held a Study Session on the theme "**How to train judges in Council of Europe instruments and in their implementation?**" The theme was divided into three sub-themes, as indicated in the programme in Appendix 1.
31. An exchange of views took place in the light of the reports presented by Mr Alain LACABARATS, Director of the Documentation and Studies Department of the Court of Cassation, Paris (France) and member of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) (sub-theme 1), Mr Willie MCCARNEY, Former President of the Association of Youth and Family Judges and Magistrates, Lay Magistrate in Belfast Youth Court and Belfast Family Proceedings Court, Justice of the Peace for the City of Belfast, Belfast (United Kingdom) (sub-theme 2 a), Mr Alexander SEGER, Head of the Economic Crime Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe (sub-theme 2 b), Mr Joaquin BAYO DELGADO, Assistant European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS), Brussels (Belgium) (sub-theme 2c), and Mr Mihai SELEGEAN, Director of the National Institute of Magistracy of Romania (NIM), Bucharest (Romania), member of the Lisbon Network Bureau (sub-theme 3). *Their presentations are set out, respectively, in documents RL/RAP(2007)2, RL/RAP(2007)3 and RL/RAP(2007)1, available on the Lisbon Network website.*

32. Following the discussion, the Network adopted a series of decisions and recommendations (see "Decisions" above).
33. The Lisbon Network warmly thanked the four Rapporteurs for their outstanding contribution to the analysis of these issues and adopted the decision in the box above, concerning follow-up by the Bureau to the Study Session.

#### **The Network's communication tools**

34. The Secretariat presented the Lisbon Network's new website ([www.coe.int/lisbon-network](http://www.coe.int/lisbon-network)). The statistics showed that the frequency of consultation and the number of pages consulted were increasing steadily, and that the number of visits was far higher than it had been before the new website had been set up in January 2007. The Secretariat also provided information about the operation of, and access to, the discussion forum recently opened on the website.
35. It noted in this connection that one delegation intended to launch a discussion on the theme of media and justice, an issue raised in Opinion No. 7, in the new discussion forum. It urged members of the Network to continue to contribute as appropriate to the development of the website, in particular by sending to the Council of Europe Secretariat their programmes of initial and in-service training for 2008 in English or French as soon as they are adopted, with a view to placing them online on the Network's website.

#### **Partial renewal of the Network Bureau**

36. The Lisbon Network elected three new members of the Bureau by means of a secret ballot.
37. It elected the Judicial Academy of the Ministry of Justice of Croatia, the High School of Justice of Georgia and the Judicial School of the General Council of the Judiciary of Spain as members of the Bureau for a period of two years. The Network noted that its Bureau would elect its Chair at its next meeting.

## APPENDIX I

### AGENDA

**Wednesday, 10 October 2007**

#### **9h30: Opening of the meeting**

- **Roberto LAMPONI**, Director of Co-operation, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

- **Jorma HIRVONEN**, Head of the Training Unit, Department of Judicial Administration, Ministry of Justice of Finland, Chairman of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

#### **9h50: First Session**

Information by the Chairman and the Secretariat

#### ***Reference document***

- Report of the sixth meeting of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network (Strasbourg, 13 April 2007)  
Document RL-BU(2007)3

#### **10h00: Round Table on**

**a)** the development of judicial training in the Council of Europe member States and information by the Secretariat on current co-operation in the field of judicial training,

**b)** follow - up by the training institutions of the Lisbon Network's recommendations on how to train the magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties (adopted at the eighth plenary meeting of the Network, Strasbourg, 16-17 October 2006)

11h15: Break

#### **11h30: Round Table (resumed)**

12h30: Lunch Break

#### **Second Session**

#### **14h00: Study Session**

**Theme: How to train judges in Council of Europe instruments and in their implementation?**

**Sub-theme 1:** The judge as the first guarantor of the implementation of International Law in the domestic legal order - Corpus of the Council of Europe Law that the judge must know and implement

**Rapporteur: Alain LACABARATS**, Directeur du Service de Documentation et d'Etudes de la Cour de Cassation, Paris (France), Member of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE)

***Reference document***

- Written report of Mr Alain LACABARATS on “The judge as the first guarantor of International Law in the domestic legal order – Corpus of the Council of Europe Law that the judge must know and implement”  
Document RL/RAP(2007)2

- Opinion No 9 of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) on the role of national judges in ensuring the effective application of international and European law

**14h30:** General discussion on sub-theme 1

**15h00: Sub-theme 2:** Some examples drawn from the Corpus of the Council of Europe Law

**a)** European co-operation in the field of child protection

**Rapporteur: Willie MC CARNEY**, Former President of the Association of Youth and Family Judges and Magistrates, Lay Magistrate in Belfast Youth Court and Belfast Family Proceedings Court, Justice of the Peace for the City of Belfast, Belfast (United Kingdom)

***Reference document***

- Powerpoint presentation on “Addressing Council of Europe standards in the area of children’s rights”  
RL/RAP(2007)4  
English only

**b)** European co-operation in the field of the fight against cybercrime

**Rapporteur: Alexander SEGER**, Head of the Economic Crime Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe

***Reference document***

- Presentation on “The implementation of the Cybercrime Convention”

**c)** European co-operation in the field of data protection

**Rapporteur: Joaquin BAYO DELGADO**, Assistant European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS), Brussels (Belgium)

***Reference document***

- Presentation on “European co-operation in the field of data protection”  
RL/RAP(2007)3  
English only

**15h30:** General discussion on sub-theme 2

16h00: Break

**16h30: Sub-theme 3:** The specific methodologies to increasingly take into consideration the Corpus of the Council of Europe Law in judicial training institutions and to integrate it in the initial and in-service training programmes

**Rapporteur: Mihai SELEGEAN**, Director of the National Institute of Magistracy of Romania (NIM), Bucharest (Romania), member of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

***Reference document***

- Written report of Mr Mihai SELEGEAN on “The specific methodologies to increasingly take into consideration the Corpus of the Council of Europe Law in judicial training institutions and to integrate it in the initial and in-service training programmes”  
Document RL/RAP(2007)1

**17h00:** General discussion on sub-theme 3

**Thursday 11 October 2007**

**9h30: Third Session**

Communication on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE)

**10h00:** Co-operation between the Council of Europe and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

**a)** Communication by **Mr Gilles CHARBONNIER**, Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN) on the activities of the EJTN

**10h30: b)** Recent developments of the interinstitutional co-operation between the Council of Europe and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN) and possible reinforcement of partnership between the Lisbon Network and the EJTN

***Reference document***

- Report of the sixth meeting of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network (Strasbourg, 13 April 2007)  
Document RL-BU(2007)3

- Draft document adopted by the Bureau of the Lisbon Network at its sixth meeting (Strasbourg, 13 April 2007) on the possible reinforcement of partnership between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)  
Document RL-BU(2007)2

11h00: Break

**11h15:** Presentation by **Ms Sandrine MAROLLEAU** - Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe – of the Lisbon Network’s communication tools

***Reference document***

- Report of the sixth meeting of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network (Strasbourg, 13 April 2007)  
Document RL-BU(2007)3

**11h30:** Partial renewal of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

- Report of the eighth plenary meeting of the Lisbon Network (Strasbourg, 16-17 October 2007)  
Document RL(2006)8

**12h00:** Presentation of the main decisions of the ninth plenary meeting of the Lisbon Network and closing of the meeting

**APPENDIX II****LIST OF PARTICIPANTS****ALBANIA / ALBANIE**

Arjana FULLANI

Director, School of Magistrates of Albania, Shkolla e Magjistratures, Prane Fakultetit te Gjeologji Minerave, Rruga e Elbasanit, Tirana

Xhezair ZAGANJORI

Lecturer, Shkolla e Magjistratures, Prane Fakultetit te Gjiologjij Mineraven, Rruga e Elbasanit, Tirana

**ANDORRA / ANDORRE**

André PIGOT

Assesseur, Conseil Supérieur de la Justice, 804 305 Andorre la Vieille

**ARMENIA / ARMENIE**

Armen MARUKHYAN

Prosecutor, International Legal Relations Department, General Prosecutor's Office of the Republic of Armenia, Yerevan

ARMAN VARDANYAN

Director, Judicial school of Armenia, Yerevan

**AUSTRIA / AUTRICHE**

Oliver SCHEIBER

Judge, Ministry of Justice, 1070 Vienna

**AZERBAIDJAN / AZERBAIJAN**

Togrul MUSAYEV

Deputy Minister of Justice, Ministry of Justice, 370073 Baku

Toghrul ALIYEV

Leading adviser, Human Rights and Public Relations Department, Ministry of Justice, 1073, Baku

**BELGIUM / BELGIQUE**

Jacques HAMAIDE

Président du Conseil Supérieur de la Justice, 1050 Bruxelles

**BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE HERZEGOVINE**

Bijana MARIC

Director, Centre for Judicial and Prosecutorial Training of the Republika Srpska, 78000 Banja Luka

Radoslav MARJANOVIC

Acting Director, Centre for judicial & Prosecuting Training, 71000 Sarajevo

**BULGARIA / BULGARIE**

Stoil PASHKUNOV

Deputy Director, National Institute of Justice, 1301 - Sofia

**CROATIA / CROATIE**

Stefica STAZNIK

Assistant of the Minister of Justice, Division of the Judicial Academy, 10 000 Zagreb

**CYPRUS / CHYPRE**

Myron NICOLATOS

Justice, Supreme Court of Cyprus, 1404 Nicosia

**CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE**

Vít PEŠTUKA

Academic teacher, Justiční akademie CR, 767 01 Kromeriz

**DENMARK / DANEMARK****ESTONIA / ESTONIE**

Tanel KASK

Director, Estonian Law Center Foundation, 51003 Tartu

**FINLAND / FINLANDE**

Jorma HIRVONEN

Head of the Training Unit, Ministry of Justice, Department of Judicial Administration, Helsinki  
Chairman of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network / Président du Bureau du Réseau de Lisbonne

**FRANCE**

Sylvie CASTERMANS

Chargée de Formation, Ecole Nationale de la Magistrature, 75004 Paris

**GEORGIA / GEORGIE**

Shota RUKHADZE

Deputy Director, High School of Justice, 0160, Tbilisi

Rusudan MIKHELIDZE

Adviser at the Organisation and Analytical Unit, Office of the Prosecutor General, Tbilisi 0133

David SAAKASHVILI

Director, High School of Justice, 0160 Tbilisi

Apologised / Excusé**GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE**

Hansjörg SCHERER

Juge, Service R B 4, Ministère fédéral de la Justice, 10117 – Berlin

**GREECE / GRECE****HUNGARY / HONGRIE**

Dr Judit CSISZÁR

Judge, Head of Department, Hungarian Judicial Academy, 1122 Budapest

Dr Gyöngyi KÖRMENDY

Prosecutor, Head of division, Office of the General Prosecutor, 1055 Budapest

**ICELAND / ISLANDE**

Apologised / Excusée



**IRELAND / IRLANDE**

The Hon. Judge Elizabeth DUNNE  
High Court, Four Courts, Dublin 7

**ITALY / ITALIE**

Letizia VACCA  
Componente Consiglio Superiore Magistratura (C.S.M.), Rome

Maurizio ARCURI  
Magistrat, Conseil supérieur de la Magistrature, Rome

Giovanna ICHINO  
Membre du Comité scientifique du Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature, Rome

**LATVIA / LETTONIE**

Kaspars BERĶIS  
Deputy State Secretary on Judiciary, Ministry of Justice, 1536 Riga

Asnate KALNIŅA  
Legal Adviser, Ministry of Justice, Riga

**LIECHTENSTEIN****LITHUANIA / LITUANIE****LUXEMBOURG**

Yves HUBERTY  
Attaché de Gouvernement, 1<sup>er</sup> en rang, Ministère de la justice, 1468 Luxembourg-Kirchberg

**MALTA / MALTE****MOLDOVA**

Eugenia FISTICAN  
Executive Director of the National Institute of Justice, Chisinau

Irina LUPUSOR  
Legal Adviser within the Governmental Agent Directorate, International Relations and European Integration General Directorate, 2012 Chisinau

**MONACO****MONTENEGRO**

Maja MILOSEVIC  
Acting Executive Director, Judicial Training Centre, Podgorica 81000

**NETHERLANDS / PAYS-BAS****NORWAY / NORVEGE**

Merethe Baustad RANUM  
Senior legal adviser, Department of Legal Affairs, National Court Administration, Domstoladministrasjonen, 7485 Trondheim

**POLAND / POLOGNE**

Wojciech POSTULSKI

Judge, Plenipotentiary of the Director of National Training Centre responsible for the coordination of international cooperation The National Training Centre for the Officials of the Common Courts of Law and the Public Prosecutor's Office, 00-347 Warsaw

**PORTUGAL**

Luis PEREIRA

Responsable des Relations Extérieures, Centre d'Etudes Judiciaires, 1149 – 048 Lisbonne

**ROMANIA / ROUMANIE**

Mihai SELEGEAN

Directeur, Institut National de la Magistrature, Bucharest

Ruxandra ANA, Head of Training National Institute of Magistracy, Bucharest

**RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE**

Valentin YERSHOV

Rector, Russian Academy of Justice, Moscow 117 418

Apologised / Excusé

Alexander ZENIN

Assistant professor of the European Humanitarian Law Chair, Russian Academy of Justice, 117418 Moscow

Igor ZVECHAROVSKY

President of the Academy, Office of the General Prosecutor, 117638 Moscow

Valery ANANIEV

Professor of the Academy, Office of the General Prosecutor, 117638 Moscow

**SAN MARINO / SAINT- MARIN :**Apologised / Excusé**SERBIA / SERBIE**

Mr Nenad VUJIC

Director, Judicial Training Centre, 11000 Belgrade

**SLOVAKIA / SLOVAQUIE**

Ms Soňa SMOLOVÁ

Judge, Justice Academy, 902 01 Pezinok

**SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE****SPAIN / ESPAGNE**

Nuria BASSOLS MUNTADA

Directrice, Ecole judiciaire, 08017 Barcelona

Francisco PUIG BLANES

Chef des Relations Extérieures, Ecole judiciaire, 08017 Barcelona

Jorge OBACH MARTINEZ

Judicial School, General Council for the Judiciary of Spain, 08017 Barcelona

**SWEDEN / SUEDE**

**SWITZERLAND / SUISSE**

**"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-REPUBLIQUE  
YUGOSLAVE DE MACEDOINE"**

Aneta ARNAUDOVSKA

Director of the Academy for Training of Judges and Prosecutors and their deputies, 1000  
Skopje

Tatjana TEMELKOSKA-MILENKOVIC

Executive Director, Academy for Training of Judges and Prosecutors, 1000 Skopje

**TURKEY / TURQUIE**

Siddik GULLUK

Judge, Justice Academy of Turkey, Ankara

**UKRAINE**

Iryna VOYTYUK

President, Academy of Judges, 01021 Kyiv

Bohdan LYZOHUB

Head of Division for the Organisation of Work, International and Inter-Institutional Relations,  
Academy of Prosecution of Ukraine, Kiev 04050

**UNITED KINGDOM / ROYAUME-UNI**

**ENGLAND AND WALES / ANGLETERRE ET PAYS DE GALLE**

Victor HALL

Judge, Judicial Studies Board of England and Wales, C/o Leicester County Court, Leicester LE  
16ZZ

**SCOTLAND / ECOSSE**

Franck R. CROWE

Apologised / Excusé

Director of Judicial Studies in Scotland, Sheriff Court, Edinburgh EH1 1LB

\*\*\*\*\*

**UNMIK / MINUK (KJI)**

Lavdim KRASNIQI

Acting Director, Kosovo Judicial Institute, Pristina 10000

Harold DAMPIER

Special Adviser of OSCE at Kosovo Judicial Institute, Pristina 10000

**COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION / CONSEIL DE L'UNION EUROPEENNE**

**EUROPEAN COMMISSION / COMMISSION EUROPEENNE**

**EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT / PARLEMENT EUROPEEN**

**EUROPEAN JUDICIAL TRAINING NETWORK (EJTN) / RESEAU EUROPEEN DE FORMATION JUDICIAIRE (REFJ)**

Gilles CHARBONNIER  
Secrétaire Général, 1000 Bruxelles

**RAPPORTEURS**

Alain LACABARATS  
Directeur du Service de Documentation et d'Etudes de la Cour de Cassation, 75055 Paris, France, Membre du Conseil Consultatif de Juges Européens (CCJE)

Willie MC CARNEY  
Former President of the Association of Youth and Family Judges and Magistrates, Lay Magistrate in Belfast Youth Court and Belfast Family Proceeding Court, Justice of the Peace for the City of Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom

Joaquín BAYO DELGADO  
Assistant EDPS (European Data Protection Supervisor), Bruxelles, Belgique

Mihai SELEGEAN  
Directeur, Institut National de la Magistrature, Bucharest, Roumanie

Alexander SEGER  
Chef de la Division du Crime Economique, Direction Générale des Droits de l'Homme et des Affaires Juridiques, Conseil de l'Europe

**SECRETARIAT OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE / SECRETARIAT DU CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE**

**Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs (DG-HL) / Direction Générale des Droits de l'Homme et Affaires Juridiques (DG-HL)**

Roberto LAMPONI  
Director of Co-operation / *Directeur de la Coopération*

Jean CLAUS  
Secretary to the Lisbon Network / *Secrétaire du Réseau de Lisbonne*

Stéphane LEYENBERGER  
Secretary to the CEPEJ and the CCJE / *Secrétaire de la CEPEJ et du CCJE*

Jean-Pierre GEILLER  
Documentation

Sandrine MAROLLEAU  
Communication

Elisabeth HEURTEBISE  
Assistant / *Assistante*

Sonya FOLCA  
Assistant / *Assistante*

Sylvie SCHINI  
*Assistant / Assistante*

**INTERPRETERS / INTERPRETES**

Jenny GRIFFITH  
Cynera JAFFREY  
Amanda BEDDOWS