



Strasbourg, 7 November 2008

RL(2008)8

**European Network for the exchange of information between  
persons and entities responsible for the training of judges and  
public prosecutors**

**LISBON NETWORK**

Website of the Lisbon Network: [www.coe.int/lisbon-network](http://www.coe.int/lisbon-network)

**10th plenary meeting**

**Palais de l'Europe, Strasbourg, France, 30 and 31 October 2008**

**“ Training of judges and prosecutors in media and the judiciary”**

**MEETING REPORT**

Secretariat memorandum, prepared by the  
Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs

## DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE LISBON NETWORK

The Lisbon Network:

- i. **took note** of:
  - the information given by its Chair who represented the Lisbon Network at a meeting with the Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN), Mr Victor Hall, which took place in Paris on 20 May 2008 with the aim to define cooperation priorities between the two networks and at the General Assembly of the EJTN which took place in Ljubljana, Slovenia on 24 – 25 June 2008;
  - the information given by its Chair on the upcoming events where the Lisbon Network will be represented, notably at the regional Conference on the topic “Justice and Rule of Law in the South Caucasus – achievements and challenges” to be held in Tbilisi, Georgia on 19 – 20 November 2008 and at the sub-group meeting of the Justice Forum on training to be held in Brussels on 11 November 2008;
- ii. **took note** of the information given by the Secretariat concerning its participation at an expert meeting on the future of the judicial training organised by the EJTN in Brussels on 4 February 2008 and at THEMIS competition organised by the National Institute of Magistracy of Romania on 22 to 26 September 2008 in Bucharest, Romania;
- iii. unanimously **decided** to grant Observer member status within the Lisbon Network to the Institute of Judicial Studies of Lebanon;
- iv. **took note** of the information given by the members on recent domestic developments regarding judicial training and institutional developments of entities responsible for the training of judges and prosecutors and **invited its members** to forward to the Secretariat written information and useful references which could be included into the area "country profiles" of its web site;
- v. **took note** of the information given by the Secretariat of the DG-HL on current cooperation activities in the field of judicial training and human rights;
- vi. **invited** the CCJE to consider in its Opinion No7 (2005) on “Justice and society” to encourage to set up appropriate training on communication and relations with the media in the law schools and training institutions for judges and prosecutors;
- vii. **took note** of the information provided by the rapporteurs on the topic of the study sessions, notably “Training of judges and prosecutors in media and the judiciary “ and “The role of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and in particular Article 10 of the Convention” and **invited** the training institutions in the member states to take it into account within the framework of the training curricula and to ensure a wide dissemination of the information among their colleagues and the other relevant institutions in their countries ;
- viii. **took note** of the information on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors, the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) and the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) and **agreed** to pursue an appropriate cooperation with these bodies to ensure the coherence between their respective activities and facilitate the synergies, where

appropriate;

- ix. **approved** the document on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards (Document RL-BU (2008)2) and **encouraged** training institutions to use this Corpus as a basis for the training of judges and prosecutors on the European norms;
  - it also **entrusted** the Secretariat to regularly update the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards and to publish it on the Web site of the Lisbon Network;
- x. **approved** the information document on cooperation modalities between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (Document RL(2008)1) and **encouraged** the two networks to increase their concrete cooperation and develop complementarities;
- xi. **took note** of the information provided by the Secretariat on the website of the Lisbon Network.
- xii. **elected** the National Institute of Magistracy of Romania and the Academy for Training of Judges and Prosecutors of the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia members of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network;
- xiii. **proposed** that its next plenary meeting be held on 29 – 30 October 2009, and the meeting of its Bureau February/March 2009 such dates having to be further confirmed by the Secretariat.
- xiv. **proposed** the following topics for the next plenary meeting: 1. Operational functioning of the judicial training institutions for judges and prosecutors – from theory to practice in the light of the CCJE Opinion No4(2003); 2. E-learning; 3. Means to access the information in foreign language in the light of the CCJE Opinion No9(2006), these topics having to be further discussed by the Bureau in view of choosing one to be developed at the plenary meeting in 2009.

1. The European Network for the exchange of information between persons and entities responsible for the training of judges and public prosecutors (**Lisbon Network**) held its tenth plenary meeting at the Palais de l'Europe in Strasbourg on 30 and 31 October 2008 under the Chairmanship of Mrs Iryna VOYTYUK (Ukraine) elected by the Bureau at its 7<sup>th</sup> meeting which took place on 14 March 2008.
2. The agenda and the list of participants are appended to this report.
3. The meeting was opened by Mr Stéphane Leyenberger, Secretary to the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), Deputy chief of the Justice Division who stressed the importance of the judicial training in the member States. The Council of Europe pays particular attention to this subject also within the framework of the activity of other intergovernmental committees such as the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) and the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE) who are planning to write a joint Opinion in the course of 2009 on the relations between judges and prosecutors and where judicial training will have an important role to play.
4. Mr Leyenberger also mentioned the importance by the magistrates to be accounted with the of CCJE Opinion No7(2005) on "Justice and society" with a view to improve the relations of the courts with the public and in particular those involved in court proceedings and the media. Mr Leyenberger also suggested that the CCJE consider in its Opinion No7 (2005) on "Justice and society", paragraph 38, to encourage to set up appropriate training on communication and relations with the media in the law schools and training institutions for judges and prosecutors and for the schools of journalism to continue to set up courses on judicial institutions and procedures.
5. Mrs Iryna Voytyuk, in her opening remarks thanked the **Bureau** members for the trust they had placed in her by electing her, which offered an opportunity for the Ukrainian Academy of Justice to become more closely involved in the network's activities.
6. Mrs Voytyuk also welcomed two new members of the Lisbon Network, namely the Prosecutors' School of the Republic of Armenia and the Academy of Prosecution of Ukraine and wished them all success in their work.

#### **Information from the Chair of the Network and the Secretariat**

7. The Chair informed the members about the meeting she had with the Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN), Mr Victor Hall in Paris, on 20 May 2008. The aim of the meeting was to define cooperation priorities with the newly elected Secretary General of the EJTN, notably in the light of the discussions held in the Lisbon Network Bureau at its last meeting (14 March 2008). The outcome of the meeting was positive as it was agreed that cooperation should be concrete and aimed at developing complementarities between the two networks.
8. The Chair also represented the Lisbon Network at the General Assembly of the EJTN which took place in Ljubljana, Slovenia on 24 – 25 June 2008. The meeting was a good opportunity to get acquainted with the developments within the framework of various working groups of the EJTN, *inter alia*, the development of the IT working group, the reinforcement of the exchange programmes for the upcoming year, the organisation of the civil justice forum.
9. At the request of the Secretariat the Chair of the Lisbon Network will take part in a regional Conference to be held in Tbilisi, Georgia, on 19 – 20 November 2008. The topic of the

Conference is “Justice and Rule of Law in the South Caucasus – achievements and challenges for the judicial professions”, organised within the framework of the Swedish Chairmanship of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe. The target groups are high level representatives from the Parliaments, Ministries of Justice, Judicial Training Institutes, Courts and civil society from the three South Caucasus countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia. The Chair of the Lisbon Network will be a rapporteur for the working group dealing with “The importance of training as a guarantee for the efficiency and independence of justice”.

10. The Lisbon Network had provided support for the third edition of the Themis Initial Training International Showroom organised by the Romanian National Institute of Magistracy in conjunction with the Portuguese Judicial Studies Centre (CEJ), in Bucharest, from 22 to 26 September 2008. 17 teams out of 15 countries, members of the Council of Europe have had the possibility to present their written work and an oral presentation on various topics such as international co-operation in civil and criminal matters; magistrates’ ethics and deontology and interpretation and application of the ECHR. The Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network jointly agreed to finance the price of the THEMIS competition consisting in a study visit at the European institutions in Strasbourg and the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg for the winning teams from Czech Republic and Romania.

#### **Round table on:**

##### **a. The development of judicial training in the Council of Europe member States and information by the Secretariat on current co-operation in the field of judicial training**

11. Mr Artashes Melikyan from the Legal and Human Rights Capacity Building Division of the Council of Europe made an intervention regarding the implementation of activities in the field of bilateral as well as multilateral co-operation of the division for the key groups of legal professionals. He introduced to the participants the state of development of training programmes in the judiciary field for a better application of the European Convention on Human Rights in national judicial systems and for the development of a culture of human rights in a long-term perspective.
12. Mr Melikyan, mentioned the HELP Programme which introduced curricula on each of the articles of the Convention and transversal themes and produced a manual on training of trainers, interactive E-learning courses based on the EctHR Grand Chamber, PowerPoint presentations on all substantive articles of the Convention, etc. Apart from this programme, the Division is implementing activities in the field of training of judges and prosecutors in the Balkan countries, Turkey, South Caucasus, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Moldova. Depending on the country specific profile, training is targeted for judges and prosecutors of different levels as well as those enlisted as candidates for filling the judicial vacancies. The principles of the activities is to provide for a long term effect of the training and ensure its sustainability. Therefore, the Council of Europe is not only training judges and prosecutors but also contributes to the development of networks of national expert-trainers who are able to train their colleagues throughout the regions in each particular country.
13. The Division is currently implementing Joint Programmes on various topics such as: “Support to the implementation of human rights reforms in Turkey”; “Enhancing the capacity of legal professionals and law enforcement officials to apply the ECHR in domestic legal proceedings and practices in the Russian Federation”; “Reform of the Judiciary system in Moldova”; “Fostering a culture of Human Rights in Armenia, Georgia and Azerbaijan” and many others. In 2009 – 2010 a new programme on “Combating torture, ill-treatment and impunity” in Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Moldova and

Ukraine” will be implemented. The programme will provide tools for improving the regulatory framework and institutional/operational systems for the prevention of torture and ill-treatment and effective investigation of complaints, leading to imposition of sanctions, based on European and international human rights norms and standards and enabling key groups of legal professionals including the judiciary and prosecutors to apply these standards in their daily work.

**b. Follow-up by judicial training institutions on the Lisbon Network's recommendations on "Training the magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties" (adopted at the Network's eighth plenary meeting, Strasbourg, 16 and 17 October 2006).**

14. The Lisbon Network participants reported in turn on recent developments in initial and in-service judicial training in their respective countries and new training schemes planned by judicial training institutions.
15. In **Belgium** the High Council of the Judiciary more precisely the Commissions for Nominations and Appointments are in charge of insuring the training of magistrates. Among the novelties in the field of judicial training in Belgium is the consolidation as of 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2008 of the Institute of Judicial Training through the law dated from 31 January 2007. The Institute is in charge of the initial and continuous training of magistrates, judicial trainees and other members of the judicial organisation. The Institute establishes the training programmes and executes them as well as ensures the evaluation.
16. The representative of **Moldova** informed the participants that the National Institute of Justice started to function in this country in 2008 with an initial training course and 20 students – candidates for judges positions and 50 for prosecutors positions. The Institute performs also the continuous training for seating judges and other judicial professions.
17. In **Azerbaijan**, important changes occurred in the field of training of judges and prosecutors. Since the independence of Azerbaijan assistance activities have been carried out to establish an independent Centre responsible for the initial training of magistrates. Initially, one training Centre started to function close to the Ministry of Justice. Nowadays there is political will in Azerbaijan to set up an independent institution also from the financial point of view in line with the CCJE Opinion N° 4. Since the existence in 2007 of a new High Judicial Council and of a special sector in charge for the training of magistrates within it, there is hope that a new independent Magistrates School will be soon set up.
18. The representative of **the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia** informed that in their country the Judicial Training Centre provides training for judges and court administrators. In September 2008, the Centre provided initial training for the second generation of future magistrates with new teaching disciplines such as IT, language courses and communication. Continuous training is also provided by the Centre. The representative also informed that the Judicial Training School of tFYROM obtained in 2008 observer status within the European Judicial Training Network. Also, the JTC is involved in the organisation of two big conferences: one at the end of 2008 on juvenile justice and on the independence of the training institutions for which the Lisbon Network could be asked to provide experts.
19. The representative of **Estonia** informed about some structural changes regarding training, namely that the Supreme Court of Estonia is in charge for providing it.

20. En **France**, there is a new programme of the reform of training of magistrates debated by the Conseil d'Etat with a view to make obligatory, for future magistrates, the exam on Community Law. Accent will be also put on the foreign languages and International Law. The National School of Magistracy of France continues to be in constant cooperation with similar institutions Europe wide, which is a mutually enriching process. It will also celebrates in 2009 its 50 anniversary.
21. The representative of **Bosnia and Herzegovina** mentioned that the training of judges and prosecutors in this country is performed by two separate institutions at the level of entities.
22. In Armenia the Judicial Training School exists since four years and only since 2008 the School is performing initial training. Mandatory training in Armenia became a reality and this thanks to the efforts made by the Council of Europe experts through various projects including HELP. The Armenian representative also expressed gratitude to the institutions of Spain and Portugal for the useful cooperation.
23. In **Poland** until recently the judicial training was very decentralised. A new system will run as of January 2009 with first instance exam for judges and prosecutors for a period of three years. The students will have the opportunity to chose their specialisation during those three years. Obligatory training became a novelty in the Polish judicial system. Within the framework of the neighbourhood policy of the EU, the Polish Judicial Training Centre is spreading the good practices with their Belorussian neighbours and other developing countries.
24. In **Greece**, the National School of Magistracy exists since 1995, but in 2008 some amendments were made to the Law. Henceforward, the training of magistrates contains modules on European Law and the ECHR. Specific training programmes are made taking into account programmes of the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network and equivalent judicial systems. The Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards drafted by the Lisbon Network could also be integrated in the national programmes.
25. The representative of **Romania** explained that there are 2700 candidates for 160 places for the entering exam at the National Institute of Magistracy. In Romania, training became a duty as well as a right and the Institute has three main tasks to perform: 1. to integrate, through continuous training, the magistrates seating before 1989; 2. to perform initial training and 3. to perform continuous training all over the country. Concerning the training curriculum, interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights became obligatory subject.
26. The representative of **Ukraine** informed about a number of amendments to the Law concerning the Academy of Judges which would turn the institution into a university type under the Ministry of Education and not under the supervision of the judicial power. The new draft does not contain any provisions as to the organisation of mandatory continuous training for seating judges. These new provisions in the Law are steps backwards towards the guarantee of an independent judicial system through highly qualified judges. The new provisions are in contradiction with opinion n° 4 (2003) of the CCJE on training of judges and with Rec 94 (12) on the independence, efficiency and role of judges.

### **Study session**

27. The Lisbon Network held a Study Session on the theme "**Training of judges and prosecutors in media and the judiciary**". The theme was divided into two sub-themes as follows : *"Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship*

*and co-operation” and “The role of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and, in particular, Article 10 of the Convention”.*

28. An exchange of views took place in the light of the reports presented by Mr Mike Wicksteed, Head of Judicial Communications for England and Wales, Judicial Communications Office, London (United Kingdom), Mr Carlos Berbell Bueno, Communication Adviser to the Presidency, General Council for the Judiciary of Spain (Madrid) and Mr Philippe Morandini, 1<sup>st</sup> Substitute to the Prosecutor of the King, Prosecutor’s Office of Dinant (Belgium) (sub-theme 1) and Mr Frédéric Gras, Advocate, Bar Association of Paris (France) (sub-theme 2). *Their presentations are set out, respectively, in documents RL(2008)3, RL(2008)5, RL(2008)7 and RL(2008)4, available in English and French on the Lisbon Network website.*
29. The Lisbon Network warmly thanked the four Rapporteurs for their outstanding contribution to the analysis of these issues and proposed new subjects for the 11<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting in 2009 to be finally decided by the Bureau.

### **Relations with other Council of Europe bodies**

30. As part of the scheme to improve synergies between the Lisbon Network and other Council of Europe bodies, the participants took note about the working programme and activities of the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCPE), the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) and the Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE). The participants were informed about the plans for drafting of a joint CCJE/CCPE Opinion in 2009 on “the relationships between judges and prosecutors” where the issue of joint training will also be addressed.

### **Draft document on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards**

31. The conclusions of the 9<sup>th</sup> Plenary meeting lead to the initiation of a reflection by the Bureau of the Lisbon Network on the drafting of a document which will contain an exhaustive list of Council of Europe standards (convention and non-convention *acquis* of the Organisation) to be taken into consideration by judicial training institutes. The document shall provide the training institutes with a framework programme which would define both Council of Europe instruments and ways of improving the quality of training in European law.
32. The Secretariat prepared a draft on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards, document RL-BU (2008)2. The Lisbon Network approved the document and encouraged the training institutions to use it as a basis for the training of judges and prosecutors on the European norms. Some delegations, such as Portugal suggested to link certain aspects of the Corpus to the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights; the Georgian representative asked to remove from the introductory part of the document related to “Training methodologies” reference to an *“ideal profile of a judge/prosecutor”* as being non-appropriate; the representatives of the European Judicial Training Network stated that the document could be a source of cooperation between two networks.
33. The participants agreed that the document is a lively instrument which need to be updated all the time. This will be constantly done by the Secretariat and the Corpus will be published on the Lisbon Network’s website.

### **Co-operation between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)**

34. The Secretariat of the Lisbon Network drafted an information document on concrete modalities for short term cooperation activities between the Lisbon Network and the European Judicial Training Network which was adopted by the Plenary meeting.
35. Mr Victor Hall, attended the Plenary meeting in his new capacity of Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network. He pointed out the importance for cooperation between two networks as they are major actors on the European scene in the field of training of magistrates. Mr Hall mentioned the meeting in Paris between heads of both networks as a step forward towards a common reflection on how to make good use of each others experience and bring an added value to the training programmes both network work on, even though separately.
36. Concerning future work of the EJTN, there are three big projects presented to the European Commission concerning training of trainers, linguistically aspects and training on human rights. Two new working groups have been created: one on exchange programmes and the other one on IT development such as e-learning, virtual library, possibility to download training material for judges and prosecutors. Mr Hall stressed the importance of EJTN, Lisbon Network and HELP Programme as key actors in designing the European future of the training of magistrates.

#### **The Network's communication tools**

37. The Secretariat presented the Lisbon Network's website and asked the members to constantly send information on the training programmes in order to update the country profiles. The question related to the functioning of the forum opened last year was also raised, as there is no user on it. The delegations decided to keep it and to find a use for it, for example by the THEMIS participants.

#### **Partial renewal of the Network Bureau**

38. The term of office for the Academy of Judges of Ukraine and the Judicial Training Institute of Belgium came to an end. The Lisbon Network decided unanimously to renew the Bureau with the following members: National Institute for Magistracy of Romania and the Academy for Training of Judges and Prosecutors of the Republic of Macedonia which will act in the Bureau for the next two years.

## **APPENDIX I**

### **AGENDA**

**Thursday, 30 October 2008**

#### **9h30: Opening of the meeting**

- **Stéphane LEYENBERGER**, Deputy Head of Justice Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe
  
- **Iryna VOITYUK**, Director of the Academy of Judges, Chair of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

#### **9h50: First Session**

Information by the Chair and the Secretariat

Request for observer member status within the Lisbon Network from the Institute of Judicial Studies of Lebanon

#### **10h30: Tour de Table on**

**a)** the development of judicial training in the Council of Europe member States and information by the Secretariat on current co-operation in the field of judicial training,

**b)** follow - up by the training institutions of the Lisbon Network's recommendations on how to train the magistrate for his/her interaction with the parties (adopted at the eighth plenary meeting of the Network, Strasbourg, 16-17 October 2006)

**11h15:** Break

**11h30: Tour de Table (resumed)**

**12h15:** Lunch Break

#### **Second Session**

**14h45: Study Session**

**Theme: "Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary"**

**Sub-theme 1: "Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship and co-operation"**

"Presentation based on the history leading up to the creation of a Judicial Communications Office (JCO) for England and Wales in 2005; the day-to-day functions of the JCO; and special press and web support the JCO provided to Lord Justice Scott Baker in his capacity as Coroner for the recent Inquests in investigating the deaths of Diana Princess of Wales and Mr Dodi al Fayed."

**Rapporteur: Mr Mike Wicksteed**, Head of Judicial Communications for England and Wales, Judicial Communications Office, London, UK

**14h15:** General discussion

**14h40: Sub-theme 1: “Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship and co-operation” - continuation**

*Presentation based on an in-depth analysis of the issues and practices observed in relations between the judiciary and the press during the “11-M” trial concerning the 2004 Madrid bombings.*

**Rapporteur: Mr Carlos BERBELL BUENO**, Communication Adviser to the Presidency, General Council for the Judiciary of Spain, Madrid (Spain)

**15h10:** General discussion

**15h30:** Break

**15h45: Sub-theme 1: “Training of Judges and Prosecutors in Media and the Judiciary – relationship and co-operation” - continuation**

**Rapporteur: Mr Philippe MORANDINI**, 1<sup>st</sup> Substitute to the Prosecutor of the King, Prosecutor’s Office of Dinant (Belgium)

*Presentation based on the specificities of case Dutroux and the relations with media.*

**16h10:** General discussion

**16h40: Sub-theme 2: “The role of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights and, in particular, Article 10 of the Convention”**

*Presentation based on media cover of court activity in the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights.*

**Rapporteur : Mr Frédéric GRAS**, Barrister at the Paris Bar (France)

**17h10:** General discussion

**17h30:** End of the day one

**Friday 31 October 2008**

**9h30: Third Session**

Communication on the activities of the Consultative Council of European Prosecutors (CCPE), the Consultative Council of European Judges (CCJE) and the European Commission on the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ)

**10h00:** Presentation of a draft document on the Minimum Corpus of the Council of Europe standards and general discussion

**10h45:** Co-operation between the Council of Europe and the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

**a)** Communication by the Secretariat

**b)** Communication by **Mr Victor Hall**, Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)

**11h00:** Break

**11h15:** Communication strategy of the Lisbon Network

**11h30:** Partial renewal of the Bureau of the Lisbon Network

**12h00:** Any other business

## APPENDIX II

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

**ALBANIA / ALBANIE****Mr Xhezair ZAGANJORI**

Lecturer

School of Magistrates of Albania

**ANDORRA / ANDORRE****M André PIGOT**

Assesseur

Conseil Supérieur de la Justice

**ARMENIA / ARMENIE****Mr Arman VARDANYAN**

Director

Judicial School of the Republic of Armenia

**Mr Grigor SARGSYAN**

Director

Prosecutors' School of the Republic of Armenia

**AUSTRIA / AUTRICHE****Mr Oliver SCHEIBER**

Judge

Federal Ministry of Justice

**AZERBAIJAN / AZERBAÏDJAN****Ms Gunel NAGIYEVA**

Leading adviser

Ministry of Justice

**BELGIUM / BELGIQUE****Mme Edith Van den BROECK**

NETWORK

Directrice de la Formation Judiciaire

Institut de Formation Judiciaire

HELP

&amp;

LISBON

**BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA / BOSNIE-HERZEGOVINE****Mr Drago ŠEVA**

Director

Public Institution

Center for judicial and prosecutorial training  
of the Republika Srpska**Mrs. Adisa Zahiragić**

Judge

Official representative for the  
Centre for judicial and prosecutorial training  
County Court of Sarajevo

#### **BULGARIA / BULGARIE**

**Ms Kremena HARALANOVA**  
Senior expert EU Law  
National Institute of Justice

#### **CROATIA / CROATIE**

**Ms Ivana GORANIC**  
Director of the Croatian Judicial Academy

#### **CYPRUS / CHYPRE**

**Mr Myron NICOLATOS**  
Justice of the Supreme Court of Cyprus

Excused/excusé

#### **CZECH REPUBLIC / REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE**

**Mr Vít PEŠTUKA**  
Mgr. / academic teacher (akademický pracovník)  
Judicial Academy of the Czech Republic

**Mr Jaroslav OPRAVIL**  
Head of International Department  
Czech Judicial Academy

#### **DENMARK / DANEMARK**

**Ms Ditte FRIIS-PEDERSEN**  
Prosecutor Human Relations  
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

Excused/excusée

**Ms Christina OTTESEN**  
Head of Training  
Danish Court Administration

Excused/excusée

#### **ESTONIA / ESTONIE**

**Mr Tanel KASK**  
Director  
Estonian Law Centre Foundation

#### **FINLAND / FINLANDE**

**Mr Jorma HIRVONEN**  
Head of Training Unit  
Ministry of Justice

**Mr Mauri SUO**  
Special adviser Training Unit

Excused / excusé

Ministry of Justice

## FRANCE

### **M. Philippe JEANJEAN**

Magistrat  
Chargé de formation au département international  
Ecole Nationale de la Magistrature

### **M. Samuel VUELTA-SIMON**

Directeur adjoint  
Directeur de la formation continue  
Département International  
Ecole Nationale de la Magistrature

Excused/excuseé

## GEORGIA / GEORGIE

### **Mr Shota RUKHADZE**

Deputy Director  
The High School of Justice of Georgia

### **Ms Rusudan MIKHELIDZE**

Head of the analytical Unit  
Office of the Prosecutor General of Georgia

## GERMANY / ALLEMAGNE

### **Mr Christian SCHERNITZKY**

Public Prosecutor  
Federal Ministry of Justice

## GREECE / GRECE

### **Mr Dimitrios VANDOROS**

Judge  
Council of State

### **Mme Stavroula KTISTAKI**

Maître des requêtes  
Conseil d'Etat Hellénique

## HUNGARY / HONGRIE

### **Ms Gyöngyi Istvánné KÖRMENDY**

Prosecutor – Head of Training Division  
Department for Personnel and Training  
Office of the Prosecutor General of Hungary

### **Ms Judit CSISZÁR**

Deputy Head of Department  
Office of the National Council of Justice

## ICELAND / ISLANDE

### **Ms Anna KARLSDOTTIR**

Excused/excuseé

Judge  
Reykjavik Municipal Court

#### IRELAND / IRLANDE

**Ms Elizabeth DUNNE or Mr Paul GILLIGAN**

Excused/excusés

High Court judge  
Judicial Studies Institute

#### ITALY / ITALIE

**Ms Giovanna ICHINO**

Delegate of the Superior Council of Milan  
Judge in the Criminal Court of Appeal of Milan  
High Council of the Magistracy

**Mme Letizia VACCA**

Vice - Présidente de la 9ème Commission  
Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura

**Mr Maurizio ARCURI**

Magistrate  
Consiglio Superiore della Magistratura

#### LATVIA / LETTONIE

**Ms Asnate KALNINA**

Excused/excusée

Legal adviser  
Division of Judiciary Policy  
Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Latvia

#### LITHUANIA / LITUANIE

**Ms Vilma JAKSTIENE**

Chief Expert  
Ministry of Justice of Lithuania

#### LUXEMBOURG

**M. Marc MATHEKOWITSCH**

Excused/excusé

Premier conseiller de Gouvernement  
Ministère de la Justice

**Mme Jeannine DENNEWALD**

Excused/excusée

Attachée au Ministre de la Justice  
Ministère de la Justice

#### MALTA / MALTE

**Mr Justice Joseph D. Camilleri**

Chairman  
The Judicial Studies Committee  
Courts of Justice

**MOLDOVA****Ms Eugenia FISTICAN**

Director of the National Institute of Justice

**Ms Irina LUPUSOR**

Deputy-head of Governmental Agent Division  
International relations and European Integration Directorate  
Ministry of Justice

**MONTENEGRO****Ms Maja MILOSEVIC**

Advisor  
Judicial Training Centre of the Republic of Montenegro

**NETHERLANDS / PAYS – BAS****Ms Rosa JANSEN**

President Board Training and Study Centre for the Judiciary (SSR)

**NORWAY / NORVEGE****Mr Stein MJØEN**

Senior Adviser  
The National Courts Administration of Norway

**POLAND / POLOGNE****Mr Wojciech POSTULSKI**

Judge  
The National Training Centre for the Officials of the  
Common Courts of Law and the Public Prosecutor's Office

**PORTUGAL****Mr Luis PEREIRA**

Senior Public Prosecutor and Coordinator of the  
International Department of the  
Judicial Studies Centre

**ROMANIA / ROUMANIE****M. Constantin BRANZAN**

Directeur de l'Institut National de la Magistrature (INM)

**Ms Ruxandra ANA**

Head of Training of Trainers Department  
National Institute of Magistracy

**RUSSIAN FEDERATION / FEDERATION DE RUSSIE****Mr Alexander ZENIN**

Deputy Head of the Chair of European Humanitarian Law  
Russian Academy of Justice

**Mr Igor ZVECHAROVSKIY**  
Rector  
Academy of Prosecutor General's Office

**Ms Ekaterina ARKHIPOVA**  
Researcher  
Academy of Prosecutor General's Office

#### **SAN MARINO / SAINT- MARIN**

**Mme Federica BIGI** Excused/excusée  
Conseiller d'Ambassade  
Ministère des Affaires étrangères

#### **SERBIA / SERBIE**

**Mr Nenad VUJIC** Excused/excusé  
Director of the  
Judicial Training Center

**Mr Vladimir Kozar** Excused/excusé  
Judge of Commercial Court in Belgrade  
President of judicial practice in Commercial Court in Belgrade

#### **SLOVAKIA / SLOVAQUIE**

**Mr Miroslav Slašťan**  
Head of european and constitutional law department  
Department of European and International Law,  
Constitutional Law and Foreign Languages  
Judicial Academy of the Slovak Republic

#### **SLOVENIA / SLOVENIE**

**Mr Silvij ŠINKOVEC** Excused/excusé  
Supreme State Prosecutor Counselor

#### **SPAIN / ESPAGNE**

**M. Jorge OBACH MARTINEZ**  
Judicial School of the  
General Council for the Judiciary of Spain

**Mr Igor GAYARRE** Excused/excusé  
Adviser of the General Director  
Department of International Relations

**Mr Francisco PUIG BLANES**  
Head of Area in the External and Institutional Relations Department  
Judicial School of the General Council for the Judiciary of Spain

#### **SWEDEN / SUEDE**

**Ms Tora WIGSTRAND** Excused / excusée

Legal Adviser  
Ministry of Justice  
Division for European Union Affairs

#### **SWITZERLAND / SUISSE**

**Mr Frank SCHÜRMAN**  
Agent du gouvernement suisse  
Département de justice et police  
Office fédéral de la justice  
Représentation de la Suisse devant la CourEDH

**Mr Karl SPÜHLER**  
Lanter Rechtsanwälte

#### **"THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA" / "L'EX-REPUBLIQUE YUGOSLAVE DE MACEDOINE"**

**Ms Tatijana TEMELKOSKA-MILENKOVIC**  
Executive Director of the Academy for  
Training of Judges and Prosecutors  
of the Republic of Macedonia

#### **TURKEY / TURQUIE**

**Mr Ramazan CAYLI**  
Judge  
Academy of Justice of Turkey

#### **UKRAINE**

**Ms Irina VOYTYUK**  
President of the  
Academy of Judges of Ukraine

**Ms Tetyana FULEY**  
Associate Professor  
Academy of Judges of Ukraine

**Mr Bogdan LYZOGUB**  
Head of Division for Organization of Work  
International and Inter-institutional Relations  
Academy of Prosecution of Ukraine

Excused/excusé

#### **UNITED KINGDOM (ENGLAND AND WALES) / ROYAUME UNI (ANGLETERRE ET PAYS DE GALLES)**

**Judge John PHILLIPS**  
Director of Studies  
Judicial Studies Board

Excused / excusé

#### **UNITED KINGDOM (SCOTLAND) / ROYAUME UNI (ECOSSE)**

**Sheriff Tom WELSH**

Director  
Judicial Studies Committee in Scotland

## **KOSOVO**

**Mr Lavdim KRASNIQI**  
Director  
Kosovo Judicial Institute

## **EUROPEAN UNION**

European Commission / Commission européenne Excused/excusée

Council of the European Union /  
Conseil de l'Union européenne Excused/excusé

## **OBSERVERS / OBERSERVATEURS**

**Mr Victor HALL**  
Secretary General of the European Judicial Training Network

## **RAPPORTEURS**

**Mr Mike WICKSTEED**  
Head of Judicial Communications for England and Wales  
Judicial Communications Office

**M. Philippe MORANDINI**  
1<sup>er</sup> Substitut du Procureur du Roi  
Parquet de Dinant

**M. Frédéric GRAS**  
Avocat au Barreau de Paris

**Mr Carlos BERBELL**  
Communication Adviser to the Presidency  
General Council for the Judiciary of Spain

## **EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS / COUR EUROPEENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME**

Mr Mark Villiger, Judge in respect of Liechtenstein of the European Court of Human Rights

## **COUNCIL OF EUROPE / CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE**

Mr Stephane Leyenberger, Secretary to the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ), Deputy chief of the Justice Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe, Strasbourg

Mrs. Artashes Melikyan, Legal and Human Rights Capacity Building Division, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, Council of Europe, Strasbourg

## **LISBON NETWORK**

Ms Ana Rusu, Secretary of the Lisbon Network, Judiciary Division, Directorate of Cooperation, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs

Assistant: Ms Maia Mamulashvili

### **INTERPRETERS Meeting**

SZYMANSKI Robert

TANNER Julia

VALK William